arXiv:1604.05276v2 [math.AG] 19 Apr 2016

TOWARD EFFECTIVE LIOUVILLIAN INTEGRATION

GAËL COUSIN, ALCIDES LINS NETO, AND JORGE VITÓRIO PEREIRA

Abstract. We prove that foliations on the projective plane admitting a Liouvillian first integral but not admitting a rational first integral always have invariant algebraic curves of degree comparatively small with respect to the degree of the foliation. We present a similar result for foliations with intermediate Kodaira dimension admitting a rational first integral.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Structure of transversely affine foliations 4
3. Cyclic quotients of transversely Euclidean foliations 7
4. Foliations of Kodaira dimension one 15
5. Proof of the main results 19
References 21

1. Introduction

This paper draws motivation from an ancient question studied by Poincaré, Autonne, Painlevé and others: Is it possible to decide if all the orbits of a polynomial vector field on the complex affine plane are algebraic ?

Poincaré observes [Poi91] that in order to provide a positive answer to the above question it suffices to bound the degree of the general orbit. Even if Poincaré is not explicit on which parameters the bound should depend on, in general, examples as simple as linear vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$ show that such bound must depend on combinatorial data attached to the singularities of the vector field like their resolution process and quotients of eigenvalues of the resulting foliation. Soon after the appearance of Poincaré's paper, Painlevé writes in [Pai97, pp. 216–217] the following paragraph.

> J'ajoute qu'on ne peut espérer résoudre d'un coup qui consiste à limiter n. L'énoncé vers lequel il faut tendre doit avoir la forme suivante: "On sait reconnaître si l'intégrale d'une équation $F(y\prime, y, x) = 0$ donnée est algébrique ou ramener l'équation aux

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75, 57R30.

Key words and phrases. Foliations, transversely affine structure, Liouvillian first integrals, invariant algebraic curves.

G. Cousin was supported by Labex IRMIA and ANR project Iso-Galois. A. Lins Neto and J.V. Pereira were partially supported by Cnpq and Faperj.

> quadratures." Dans ce dernier cas, la question reviendrait à reconnaître si une certaine intégrale abélienne (de première ou de troisième espèce) n'a que deux ou une périodes.

Painlevé suggests that one should first ask whether or not a given polynomial vector field admits a first integral "expressed through quadratures"; and only then, having this special first integral at hand, decide whether or not the leaves are algebraic. To put things in perspective it is useful to notice that the strategy to deal with the analogous problem for linear differential equations with rational coefficients is in accordance with Painlevé's suggestion cf. [BD79].

The vague terminology first integral "expressed through quadratures" can be formalized in several distinct ways. One possible interpretation is that one should look for first integrals belonging to a Liouvillian extension of the differential field $(\mathbb{C}(x,y),\{\partial_x,\partial_y\})$. For a precise definition and thorough discussion of this concept we refer to [Sin92] and [CLP07].

More recently, it came to light a family of examples [LN02] showing the impossibility of giving bounds for the degree a general algebraic orbit depending only on the analytical type of the singularities of the foliation/vector field. They consist on one parameter families of holomorphic foliations with fixed analytical type of singularities, such that the general foliation has only finitely many algebraic leaves and, in contrast, for a dense set of the parameter space the corresponding foliation has all its leaves algebraic. These families of examples highlight the difficulties of Poincaré original problem, and at the same time provides evidence for the effectiveness of the approach suggested by Painlevé as all the members of the families admit Liouvillian first integrals and each of these families share the very same integrating factor.

1.1. **Invariant algebraic curves of small degree.** Our main results provide further evidence in favor of Painlevé's strategy. The first one shows that foliations on the projective plane admitting a Liouvillian first integral, but which do not admit a rational first integral, always have an algebraic invariant curve of comparatively small degree.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of degree $d \geq 2$ on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ admits a Liouvillian first integral but does not admit a rational first integral. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits an algebraic invariant curve of degree at most $12(d-1)$.*

We point out that in general it is impossible to bound the degree of the support of the integrating factor only in function of the degree of the foliation. For instance [Per02, Section 5, Example 3] presents a family of foliations of degree 4 deduced from Gauss hypergeometric equation, for which the (unique) integrating factor has support on three lines and a rational curve of arbitrarily high degree. Nevertheless, in this family of examples the analytic/combinatorial type of singularities varies.

The starting point of the proof of Theorem A is Singer's Theorem [Sin92] which says that the existence of a Liouvillian first integral for a foliation on a projective surface is equivalent to the existence of a meromorphic transverse affine structure for the foliation. Recent results on structure of transversely affine foliations, [CP14] and [LPT15], say that a transversely affine foliation on a projective surface is either the pull-back under a rational map of a Riccati foliation; or is birationally equivalent to a finite quotient of a foliation defined by a closed rational 1-form. In both cases our strategy consists in looking for sections of powers of the canonical of the

foliation vanishing along invariant algebraic curves. To achieve this in the case of pull-back of Riccati foliations we explore the description of the positive part of the Zariski decomposition of the canonical bundle of foliations of Kodaira dimension one due to McQuillan, see [McQ08] and [Bru03], in order to prove that, for some $k \leq 12$, $|K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}|$ defines a map to a curve which will contain the sought curves among the irreducible components of its fibers. The case of finite quotients of foliation defined by closed rational 1-forms is trickier and makes use of the nonexistence of rational first integral to guarantee the existence of a non-trivial representation of the fundamental group of the complement of the polar divisor of the transverse affine structure. We first study the case where the foliation is defined by a closed rational 1-form. In this case, the non-trivial representation of the fundamental group allows us to produce logarithmic 1-forms generically transverse to the foliation and tangent to the zero divisor of the closed rational 1-form defining it. In the general case one is asked to understand cyclic quotients of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms. The proof goes on by studying the action of the relevant cyclic group on the space of symmetric logarithmic differentials and showing the existence of an invariant symmetric logarithmic differential of degree ≤ 12. The restriction of this symmetric logarithmic differential to the leaves of the foliation defines an invariant (holomorphic) section of a power of the canonical which descends to a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k(d-1))$, for some $k \leq 12$, which cuts out some invariant algebraic curves.

Of course it would be highly desirable to have a similar result for foliations admitting a rational first integral but unfortunately our method to establish Theorem A exploits extensively the nonexistence of rational first integrals. Nevertheless, its use can be avoided in the case of foliations of Kodaira dimension zero or one. As a consequence we obtain a similar result for foliations admitting a rational first integral such that the underlying fibration is isotrivial with fibers of genus $g \geq 2$; or the underlying fibration has elliptic fibers.

Theorem B. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^2$ admitting a rational first integral and of intermediate Kodaira dimension, i.e.* $\mathrm{kod}(\mathcal{F}) \in \{0, 1\}$. *Then the following assertions hold true.*

1. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is birationally equivalent to an isotrivial fibration of genus 1 then $\mathcal{F}$ admits an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most $6(d-1)$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is birationally equivalent to an non-isotrivial fibration of genus 1 then any irreducible algebraic curve invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ has degree at most $12(d-1)$.*
3. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is birationally equivalent to an isotrivial fibration of genus $g \geq 2$ then $\mathcal{F}$ admits an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most $42(d-1)$.*

It is seems reasonable to conjecture that foliations of degree d on $\mathbb{P}^2$ admitting a rational first integral always have an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most C_d where C_d is a constant depending only on d. It is conceivable that a form of Theorem B holds without hypothesis on the nature of the fibration, giving in particular that we can take $C_d = 42(d-1)$ for arbitrary fibrations.

1.2. **Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to the mathoverflow community in general and to David Speyer in special for providing valuable and substantial help toward the proof of Theorem 3.12.

2. Structure of transversely affine foliations

This section starts by recalling the basic definitions and properties of transversely affine foliations and then review the structure of this class of foliations following [CP14] and [LPT15].

2.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold X with normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a holomorphic section ω of $N_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Omega^1_X$ with zero locus of codimension ≥ 2 and satisfying $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$. A singular transverse affine structure for $\mathcal{F}$ is a meromorphic flat connection

$$\nabla : N_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Omega^1_X(*D), \text{ satisfying } \nabla(\omega) = 0;$$

where D is a reduced divisor on X and $\Omega^1_X(*D)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms on X with poles (of arbitrary order) along D. We will always take D minimal, in the sense that the connection form of ∇ is not holomorphic in any point of D. The divisor D is the singular divisor of the transverse affine structure.

A foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is a singular transversely affine foliation if it admits a singular transverse affine structure. Aiming at simplicity, from now on, when talking about singular transverse affine structures and singular transversely affine foliations, we will omit the adjective singular.

When X is an algebraic manifold, the transverse affine structure can be defined by rational 1-forms. If α is a rational 1-form defining $\mathcal{F}$ then the existence of a meromorphic flat connection on $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of a rational 1-form η_0 such that

$$d\alpha = \alpha \wedge \eta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\eta_0 = 0.$$

Indeed, if U is an arbitrary open subset of a complex manifold X where $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial then a meromorphic connection on a trivialization of $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ over U can be expressed as

$$\nabla_{|U}(f) = df + f \otimes \eta_0 \,,$$

where η_0 is a closed meromorphic 1-form which belongs to $H^0(U, \Omega^1_X(*D))$. If α represents ω in this very same trivialization then $\nabla_{|U}(\alpha) = d\alpha + \eta_0 \wedge \alpha$ and $\nabla(\omega) = 0$ is equivalent to $d\alpha = \alpha \wedge \eta_0$. If X is algebraic we can trivialize $N\mathcal{F}$ in the Zariski topology and get the sought pair of rational 1-forms.

The equality $d\omega_0 = \alpha \wedge \eta_0$ implies that the (multi-valued) 1-form $\exp(\int \eta_0)\omega_0$ is closed. Its primitives are first integrals for the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. These first integrals belong to a Liouvillian extension of the field of rational functions on X, and conversely the existence of a non-constant Liouvillian first integral for $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely affine, see [Sin92].

Even if ω_0 and η_0 may have poles in the complement of D, the multi-valued function $\int \exp(\int \eta_0)\omega_0$ coincides with the developing map of $\mathcal{F}_{|X-(D\cup \operatorname{sing}\mathcal{F})}$ and extends holomorphically to the whole of $X - D$. For any given base point $q \in X - D$, its monodromy is an anti-representation ϱ of the fundamental group of the complement of D in X to the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$. The linear part of ϱ will be denoted by ρ. The abelian representation ρ coincides with the monodromy of ∇.



Here and throughout the paper we will deliberately omit the base point of the fundamental groups. Hopefully no confusion will arise.

2.2. **Singular divisor and residues.** Recall from the previous section that the singular divisor of a transverse affine structure ∇ is nothing but the reduced divisor of poles of ∇. A simple computation shows that the irreducible components of the singular divisor D of a transverse affine structure ∇ for a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ are invariant by $\mathcal{F}$, cf. [CP14, Proposition 2.1].

Since ∇ is flat we can attach to each irreducible component C of D a complex number $\mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla)$, defined as the residue of any local meromorphic 1-form η_0 defining ∇ at a general point of C. The residues of ∇ determine the Chern class of $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the next proposition shows. For a proof see [CP14, Proposition 2.2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a projective manifold. If ∇ is any flat meromorphic connection on a line-bundle $\mathcal{L}$ then the class of $-\sum \mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla)[C]$ in $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, with the summation ranging over the irreducible components of the singular divisor D, coincides with the Chern class of $\mathcal{L}$. Reciprocally, given a $\mathbb{C}$-divisor $R=\sum \lambda_C C$ with the same class in $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ as a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ then there exists a flat meromorphic connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ with logarithmic poles and $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla_{\mathcal{L}})=-R$.*

2.3. **Structure Theorem.** The global structure theorem of transversely affine foliations is described by the next result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a projective manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ be a singular transversely affine foliation on X. Then at least one of following assertions holds true.*

1. *There exists a generically finite Galois morphism $p: Y \to X$ such that $p^*\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a closed rational 1-form.*
2. *There exists a transversely affine Ricatti foliation $\mathcal{R}$ on a surface S and a rational map $p: X \dashrightarrow S$ such that $p^*\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{F}$.*

This result was first established in [CP14] under the additional assumption that $H^1(X,\mathbb{C})=0$. The version stated above is proved in [LPT15].

The first assertion holds true for a foliation on an arbitrary projective manifold if and only there exists a transversely affine structure ∇ for $\mathcal{F}$ which has finite monodromy and at worst logarithmic poles, see [CP14, Example 2.10 and proof of Theorem 5.2]. If we restrict to projective manifolds with $H^1(X,\mathbb{C})=0$ then the finiteness of the monodromy is equivalent to the rationality of the residues.

In the simplest case where the residues of ∇ are integers then the poles of the closed rational 1-form defining $p^*\mathcal{F}$ lie over the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ with negative coefficients. The codimension one zeros of the same closed rational 1-form lie over the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ with positive coefficients.

2.4. **Finite Galois morphism.** As in the sequel we are going to deal exclusively with foliations on projective surfaces, we will restrict ourselves to the two dimensional case from now on. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversely affine foliation on a projective

surface X with transversely affine structure given by a logarithmic connection ∇ with rational residues and finite monodromy. We will give details on the construction the generically finite Galois morphism $p : Y \to X$ appearing in the statement of the structure Theorem 2.2.

Decompose $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ as $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}} + \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ where $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the round-down of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the fractional part of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$. According to our definition the coefficients of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ lie in $[0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

If $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$ let $m = m(\nabla)$ be the smallest positive integer such that $m \,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a divisor with integral coefficients. Notice that the m-th power of the monodromy representation $\rho : \pi_1(U) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ of ∇, extends to a representation $\rho^m : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{C}^*$. If ρ^m is trivial then m is the order of ρ, in general $\mathrm{ord}(\rho) = m \,\mathrm{ord}(\rho^m)$. Before proceeding, replace X by the finite étale covering determined by $\ker \rho^m$, in order to have the equality $m = \mathrm{ord}(\rho)$. Once we have done this, we obtain that $\mathcal{L} = N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a m-th root of $m \,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} = \mathcal{O}_X(m \,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \,.$$

Let $p : Y \to X$ be the branched covering of degree $m = \mathrm{ord}(\rho)$ determined by $\mathcal{L}$ branched along the effective divisor $m \,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, see [BHPVdV04, Chapter I, Section 17]. If $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ is the section vanishing along $m \,\mathrm{Res}\,\mathbb{Q}$ and L (respectively L_m) is the total space of $\mathcal{L}$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$) then Y is the normalization of the pre-image of the graph of σ under the morphism $\theta_m : L_M \to L$ defined fiberwise by $t \mapsto t^m$. Of course, θ_m commutes with the projections to X. Notice that $p : Y \to X$ is Galois, more precisely there exists $\varphi : Y \to Y$ an automorphism of order m such that $p \circ \varphi = p$.

The monodromy of this covering coincides with the monodromy of the local system of flat sections of the connection ∇. An alternative construction of Y is given by taking the étale Galois covering $\tilde{U} \to U$ determined by $\ker \rho$ and then compactifying in an equivariant way respecting the compactification X of U, see for instance [Nam87, Theorem 1.3.8].

Over smooth points of the divisor $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the surface Y is smooth, and over normal crossing singularities it has cyclic quotient singularities.

We can avoid the appearance of the quotient singularities if we replace X by a blow-up $\tilde{X}$, in such a way that the resulting foliation on $\tilde{X}$ have a transversely affine structure $\tilde{\nabla}$ with support of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{\nabla})$ equal to a disjoint union of smooth curves. It will be convenient to replace X by $\tilde{X}$, i.e., from now on we will assume that the support of the non-integral part of the residue divisor $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a disjoint union of smooth curves, and in particular Y is smooth.

Let $\mathcal{G} = p^*\mathcal{F}$. Since p ramifies only over irreducible components of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and contracts no curve, we have the formula [Bru15, Chapter 2, Section 3].

$$N_{\mathcal{G}} = p^* N_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \left(\sum_{C_0 \subset |\,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}\,|} (p^* C_0)_{red} - p^* C_0 \right) .$$

Indeed, if C is an irreducible component of $p^* \,\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ dominating $C_0 \subset X$ and $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes N_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a twisted 1-form defining $\mathcal{F}$ then the vanishing order of $(p^*\omega)$ along C is equal to $q(\nabla, C_0) - 1$ where $q(\nabla, C_0)$ is the order of $\mathrm{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla)$ in the group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $N_{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) = q(\nabla, C_0)\big(\,\mathrm{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) + 1\big) - 1$$

and in particular all its residues are integers. Moreover

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) < 0 & \text{if and only if} & \mathrm{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) \leq -1; \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) = -1 & \text{if and only if} & \mathrm{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) = -1; \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}) \geq 0 & \text{if and only if} & \mathrm{Res}_{C_0}(\nabla) \geq \dfrac{1-q(\nabla, C_0)}{q(\nabla, C_0)}. \end{array}$$

Therefore we have a closed rational 1-form $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ defining $\mathcal{G}$ with poles over the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ with residues smaller than or equal to -1; and leaving invariant the curves over the irreducible components of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ with residues strictly greater than -1.

Notice that the deck transformation $\varphi : Y \to Y$ and the closed rational 1-form $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ are related through the identity $\varphi^*\omega_{\mathcal{G}} = \xi_m \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some m-th root of the unity $\xi_m = \rho(\gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

We summarize the discussion above in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversely affine foliation on a simply connected projective surface X with logarithmic transversely affine structure ∇ with rational residues. Let m be the order in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of the group generated by the residues of ∇. Then there exists a birational morphism $r : \tilde{X} \to X$ and a ramified cyclic covering $p : Y \to \tilde{X}$ from a smooth projective surface Y to $\tilde{X}$ of degree m such that $(p \circ r)^*\mathcal{F}$ is defined by a closed rational 1-form α. Moreover, if $\varphi : Y \to Y$ generates the group of automorphism of the covering p then $\varphi^*\alpha = \exp(2i\pi k/m)\alpha$ for some integer k relatively prime to m.*

The restriction to simply-connected surfaces in the result above is necessary only to control the order m of the monodromy on ∇ in terms of the order in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ of the group generated by the residues of ∇.

3. Cyclic quotients of transversely Euclidean foliations

This section is devoted to the proof of a particular case of Theorem A. Here we will investigate transversely affine foliations which do not admit a rational first integral and are defined by a closed rational 1-form on a suitable ramified covering of the initial ambient space. A precise statement is provided in §3.5 below.

3.1. Zeros, poles and invariant algebraic curves of closed rational 1-forms.

We start things off by observing that the divisor of poles of closed rational 1-forms defining reduced foliations do not intersect the invariant algebraic curves not contained in it.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ω be a closed rational 1-form on a projective surface S and consider the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined by it. If $\mathcal{F}$ is reduced in Seidenberg's sense then any germ of irreducible $\mathcal{F}$-invariant curve is either contained in $(\omega)_\infty$ or does not intersect it. In particular, the zero divisor $(\omega)_0$ does not intersect the polar divisor $(\omega)_\infty$.*

Proof. Since ω is closed it is clear that any irreducible component of $(\omega)_0$ or of $(\omega)_\infty$ is $\mathcal{F}$-invariant. Thus the last conclusion of the statement follows immediately from the first.

Let C be a germ of irreducible $\mathcal{F}$-invariant curve not contained in $(\omega)_\infty$. Aiming at a contradiction assume C is a germ centered at a point $p \in |(\omega)_\infty|$. Notice that $p \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

Since there are at most two germs of $\mathcal{F}$-invariant curves centered at reduced singularity, it turns out that the polar locus is smooth at p. Thus, at a neighborhood of p, we can write ω as

$$\lambda\frac{df}{f} + d\left(\frac{g}{f^k}\right)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, f is an irreducible germ of holomorphic function cutting out $(\omega)_\infty$, and g is a holomorphic germ relatively prime to f.

A simple computation, using that $p \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, shows that $g(p) = 0$. If the linear parts of f and g at p are proportional (in particular if g has trivial linear part) then the singularity is nilpotent. If instead the linear parts of f and g at p are linearly independent then we obtain a non-reduced singularity with quotient of eigenvalues equal to $k^{\pm 1}$. All possibilities contradict our assumptions. □

3.2. **Quasi-abelian varieties and Iitaka-Albanese morphism.** For further use we briefly review Iitaka's theory of quasi-Albanese maps [Iit76].

Let X be a projective manifold and D a simple normal crossing divisor on X. The Iitaka-Albanese variety of the pair (X, D) is by definition the quotient of $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))^*$ by the image of the map

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(X - D, \mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))^* \\ \gamma &\mapsto \left(\alpha \mapsto \int_\gamma \alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote the Iitaka-Albanese variety by $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$. It is a connected abelian algebraic group which comes with a surjective morphism to the Albanese variety of X with fibers isomorphic to algebraic tori $(\mathbb{G}_m)^r \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ of dimension $r = h^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) - h^1(X, \mathbb{C})$.

The Iitaka-Albanese variety is an example of a quasi-abelian variety (in the sense of Iitaka). By definition a quasi-abelian variety is an abelian connected algebraic group which fibers over an abelian variety with algebraic tori as fibers.

The holomorphic map

$$\begin{aligned} X - D &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Alb}(X, D) \\ x &\mapsto \left(\alpha \mapsto \int_{x_0}^x \alpha\right) \end{aligned}$$

extends to a rational map $\mathrm{alb}(X, D) : X \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$. This is the Iitaka-Albanese map of the pair (X, D). It depends on the choice of a base point $x_0 \in X - D$ but any two choices lead to maps that differ by translations. Notice that the image of $\mathrm{alb}(X, D)$ is non-degenerate, i.e. is not contained in any proper subgroup of $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$.

One of the key properties of $\mathrm{alb}(X, D)$ is that the pull-back through it of the translation invariant forms on $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$ coincides with $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))$. It will be convenient to denote the translation invariant holomorphic 1-forms on a quasi-abelian variety A by $H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)$.

Let A be a quasi-abelian variety. By an automorphism of a quasi-abelian variety we mean a biholomorphic map which respects the group law, we will denote the group of automorphisms of A by $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$. If $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a finite group we will denote by A_G the set of points in A with non-trivial G-isotropy group. In other

words $x \in A$ belongs to A_G if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ different from the identity such that $g(x) = x$.

The next two results are stated in [BGAL99] for Abelian varieties. The proofs easily adapt to the more general case of quasi-abelian varieties. We start with [BGAL99, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a quasi-abelian variety and $G =< \alpha >$ a cyclic group of automorphisms. Suppose $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \ldots < d_r$ are the orders of eigenvalues of $\alpha^* : H^0_{\text{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^0_{\text{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)$. Then there are G-stable quasi-abelian subvarieties $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ of A such that*

(1) $\alpha_i = \alpha_{|A_i}$ is of order d_i;
(2) $(A_1)_{<\alpha_1>}$ is A_1 if $d_1 = 1$, otherwise $(A_1)_{<\alpha_1>}$ is finite;
(3) for $i > 1$, $(A_i)_{<\alpha_i>}$ is always finite;
(4) the addition map $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r \to A$ is an isogeny.

Remark 3.3. The proof of the Theorem 3.2 does not rely on Poincaré's complete reducibility Theorem. It constructs the abelian/quasi-abelian subvarieties A_i concretely by looking at fixed points of iterates of α, see [BGAL99] for details. Beware that the obvious analogue of Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem does not hold for quasi-abelian varieties in general. There exists a quasi-abelian variety A containing a quasi-abelian subvariety B for which there is no quasi-abelian subvariety $C \subset A$ such that A is isogeneous to $B \times C$, cf. [CC95, Example 2.4]. Nevertheless if $B \subset A$ is an abelian (not just quasi-abelian) subvariety of a quasi-abelian variety A then there exists a quasi-abelian subvariety C such that A is isogeneous to $B \times C$, see [CC95, Proposition 2.3].

Denote by φ the Euler totient function, i.e. $\varphi(d)$ is the number of primitive roots of unity of order d. The next result corresponds to [BGAL99, Proposition 1.8].

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose α is an automorphism of order d of a quasi-Abelian variety A of dimension g. If $A_{<\alpha>}$ is finite then the set $\Phi_\alpha = \{$eigenvalues of $\alpha^* : H^0_{\text{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^0_{\text{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)\}$ contains $\varphi(d)/2$ distinct and pairwise non complex conjugate primitive d-th roots of unity.*

3.3. **Foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms.** We study first the case of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a projective manifold and D be a simple normal crossing divisor on X. Suppose $C \subset X - D$ is a compact curve such that the restriction morphism $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ has non-trivial kernel. Then the restriction morphism $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)) \to H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ also has non-trivial kernel.*

Proof. If the kernel of $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \to H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ is non-trivial then there is nothing to prove. Assume the contrary and notice that the kernel of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is also trivial thanks to the functoriality of Hodge decomposition $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \oplus H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Hodge Theory gives the existence of a decomposition, as real vector spaces, $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)) \oplus \sqrt{-1}H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, see for instance [ABCAM13, Proposition 3.6] [Ara97, proof of Proposition V.1.4]. Therefore the non-injectivity of $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$ implies the existence of a logarithmic differential with poles on D and non-zero residues. From the long exact sequence in cohomology deduced from

$$0 \to \Omega^1_X \to \Omega^1_X(\log D) \to \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \to 0$$

we infer that the first boundary map $\oplus H^0(D_i, \mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \to H^1(X, \Omega^1_X)$ also has non-trivial kernel. Since this boundary map is nothing but the Chern class of the corresponding divisor, we obtain a divisor $E \neq 0$ with vanishing Chern class and support contained in the support of D. If we consider the unitary flat connection on $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$ and pull it back to $\mathcal{O}_X$ through a section s of $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$ such that $E = (s)_0 - (s)_\infty$, we obtain a logarithmic differential on X with poles on E such that all its periods (including the ones around irreducible components of E) are purely imaginary. In the notation of [Per06, Section 3], this is the unique logarithmic 1-form ω_E with $\mathrm{Res}(\omega_E) = E$ and purely imaginary periods. We can apply [Per06, Proposition 3.3] to conclude that ω_E vanishes identically when pulled-back to C. This concludes the proof of the non-injectivity of $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)) \to H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation on a projective surface X defined by a closed rational 1-form ω with polar divisor D. Assume $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral and let C be the maximal compact $\mathcal{F}$-invariant algebraic curve contained in $X - D$. Then there exists a quasi-abelian variety A and a non-constant morphism from $r : X - D \to A$ with image not contained in the translate of any proper quasi-abelian subvariety which contracts all the irreducible components of C to points.*

Proof. We will construct $r : X - D \to A$ as a quotient of the Iitaka-Albanese morphism of $X - D$. Consider the representation of $\pi_1(X - D)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ defined by integration of ω. Since $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral this representation must be infinite. Therefore $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C})$ is infinite as well. Consider the restriction morphism $H^1(X - D, \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(C, \mathbb{C})$. Since C is $\mathcal{F}$ invariant the representation defined by ω is in the kernel of this morphism.

Lemma 3.5 implies that the restriction morphism $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)) \to H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ has non-trivial kernel. Dualizing it, we deduce that

$$H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)^* \to H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))^*$$

is not surjective. Therefore the induced morphism

$$\mathrm{Alb}(C) = \oplus \mathrm{Alb}(C_i) \to \mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$$

is not surjective.

We define the quasi-abelian variety A as the cokernel of this morphism. The Iitaka-Albanese morphism $X - D \to \mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$ composed with the natural projection $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D) \to A$ gives rise to the sought morphism. □

Corollary 3.7. *Notation as in Proposition 3.6. If $V_C \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))$ is the vector subspace consisting of logarithmic 1-forms which are identically zero when pulled-back to (all the irreducible components of) C, then the dimension of V_C is at least one. Moreover, if $\omega \in V_C$ then $\dim V_C \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $r : X - D \to A$ be the morphism produced by Proposition 3.6. Let $H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)$ be the vector space of translation invariant 1-forms on A. Since the image of r is not contained in any proper quasi-abelian subvariety it follows that the pull-back map $r^* : H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))$ is injective. Moreover, by construction, its image coincides exactly with V_C. This is sufficient to prove that $\dim V_C \geq 1$.

Suppose now that $\omega \in V_C$. Observe that r extends to a rational map $\overline{r} : X \dashrightarrow A$. If the dimension of A is one then the composition of $\overline{r}$ with any non-constant rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(A)$ would be a rational first integral for $\mathcal{F}$. Since we are assuming that such a first integral does not exist, we conclude that $\dim V_C \geq 2$ when $\omega \in V_C$. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation on a projective surface X defined by a closed rational 1-form ω with polar divisor D. If $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral then there exists a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ vanishing along the compact curves contained in $X - D$ and invariant by $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. According to Corollary 3.7 there exists a logarithmic 1-form $\alpha \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))$ such that the foliation defined by α is distinct from the foliation defined by ω and which vanishes when pulled-back to every compact curve in $X - D$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}$. If $v \in H^0(X, TX \otimes K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a twisted vector field defining $\mathcal{F}$ then the contraction of α and v gives a section σ of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ vanishing along the compact curves contained in $X - D$. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a degree d foliation on $\mathbb{P}^2$ given by a closed rational 1-form ω. If $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral then the (reduced) support of the zero divisor of ω has degree at most $d - 1$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : (Y, \mathcal{G}) \to (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F})$ be a reduction of singularities of $\mathcal{F}$. The 1-form $\pi^*\omega$ defines $\mathcal{G}$. According to Lemma 3.1 the zero divisor of $\pi^*\omega$ is disjoint from the polar locus of $\pi^*\omega$. Proposition 3.8 gives a section σ of $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ vanishing along the zero divisor of $\pi^*\omega$. This section descends to a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ vanishing along the zero divisor of ω. To conclude it suffices to observe that $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d - 1)$. □

3.4. **Finding invariant symmetric differentials.** To reduce the case of foliations defined by closed rational 1-forms after a ramified covering to the case just studied, we will now show how to produce logarithmic symmetric differentials vanishing along the compact curves. In the case $\omega \notin V_C$ (notation as in the previous subsection) this is achieved through a direct application of the result below which is due to D. Speyer, see [Spe].

Theorem 3.10. *Let $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a natural number and Φ be a subset of the set $P(m) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ of primitive m-th roots of the unity. If $P(m)$ is the disjoint union of Φ and Φ^{-1} then*

$$1 \in \Phi^N = \underbrace{\Phi \cdot \cdots \cdot \Phi}_{N \text{ times}}$$

for some $N \leq 6$.

Proof. The complete proof can be found in [Spe]. We will not reproduce it because the proof of Theorem 3.12 below follows very closely Speyer's arguments. Here we will only deal with the case $m = 2^k$ for $k \geq 2$ since it will be used in what follows.

For $m = 2^2$ the result is clear. It suffices to take any element of Φ and raise it to the 4-th power.

Suppose now that $m = 2^k$ with $k \geq 3$. Notice that the set $\Phi^3 = \Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi$ is contained in $P(m)$. Aiming at a contradiction assume that $\Phi^3 \subset \Phi$. If $a, b \in \Phi$ then then $a^2 b \in \Phi$. Hence for any $a \in \Phi$ we deduced that a^{2l+1} also belongs to Φ. This shows that $\Phi = P(m)$. Contradiction.

Since Φ^3 is not contained in Φ we can find three elements in Φ, say a, b, c and one element d in Φ^{-1} such that $abc = d$. Since $d^{-1} \in \Phi$ we obtain that $abcd^{-1} = 1$ as wanted. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let A be a quasi-abelian variety and α be a finite automorphism of A. Then there exists a non-zero holomorphic section of $\mathrm{Sym}^k \Omega^1_A$ invariant by α for some $k \leq 6$.*

Proof. If the only eigenvalue of $\alpha^* : H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)$ is $d_1 = 1$ then, by Theorem 3.2, $A_1 = A$, α is the identity, and any 1-form is invariant. Otherwise, some eigenvalue d_i of α^* is not 1, Let $A_i \subset A$ be the abelian subvariety associated to d_i in Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.2 (3), $A_{i<\alpha_i>}$ is finite and, by Proposition 3.4, α_i^* has $\varphi(n)/2$ distinct eigenvalues.

Let λ be such an eigenvalue, associated to the eigenvector γ of $\alpha_i^* : H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A_i, \Omega^1_{A_i}) \to H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A_i, \Omega^1_{A_i})$. Then γ extends to an element $\hat{\gamma} \in H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A)$ such that $\alpha^* \hat{\gamma} = \lambda \hat{\gamma}$. In particular, α^* also possesses $\varphi(n)/2$ distinct eigenvalues and application of Theorem 3.10 produces an α^*-invariant symmetric differential of the required degree. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a natural number satisfying $\varphi(m) \geq 4$, Φ be a subset of the set $P(m) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ of primitive m-th roots of the unity, and λ be an element of $P(m)$. If $P(m) = \Phi \cup \Phi^{-1} \cup \{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$ then*

$$1 \in \Phi^N = \underbrace{\Phi \cdot \cdots \cdot \Phi}_{N \text{ times}}$$

for some $N \leq 12$.

Proof. If $\varphi(m) = 4$ then $m \in \{5, 8, 10, 12\}$ and the result is a trivial consequence of $\xi^m = 1$.

It will be convenient to adopt the additive notation. Let $C(m) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ denote the cyclic group with m elements, and $U(m)$ be the set of its generators, i.e. $U(m) = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$.

For p a prime number we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to C(p) \to C(mp) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(m) \to 0\,.$$

If $y \in U(m)$ then the cardinality of $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap U(mp)$ is p if p divides m, and $p - 1$ otherwise.

Let $A \subset U(mp)$ be a set and $\lambda \in U(mp)$ an element such that

$$U(mp) = A \coprod -A \coprod \{\lambda\} \coprod \{-\lambda\}\,.$$

Notice that, for every $y \in U(m) - \{\pi(\lambda), \pi(-\lambda)\}$, we have the identity

$$\#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A) + \#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap -A) = p \text{ or } p - 1\,,$$

according to whether p divides m or not.

Suppose that the result holds true for m where m is an integer satisfying $\varphi(m) \geq 4$. We will now show that the result also holds for mp where p is any odd prime.

Let $\mu = \pi(\lambda)$ and define $B \subset U(m)$ such that for every $y \in B$ the cardinality of $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$ is at least $(p-1)/2$ and

$$U(m) = B \coprod -B \coprod \{\mu\} \coprod \{-\mu\}\,.$$

Suppose there exists $b_1, \ldots, b_N \in B$ such that $b_1 + \ldots + b_N = 0$. Choose $c_1, \ldots, c_N \in C(mp)$ such that $c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i)$ and $c_1 + \ldots + c_N = 0$. Set $X_i = (\pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A) - c_i$ and notice that by construction $X_i \subset C(p)$. By Cauchy-Davenport Theorem (see for instance [Vau81, Lemma 2.14]) we have that

$$\#(X_1 + \ldots + X_N) \geq \min\{p, N(p-1)/2 - (N-1)\}\,.$$

Therefore if $p \geq 3 + 4/(N-2)$ (i.e. $N \geq 3$ and $p \geq 7$; or $N \geq 4$ and $p \geq 5$) then

$$\#(X_1 + \ldots + X_N) = p\,.$$

Under this condition, we can take $x_i \in X_i$ summing up to zero. Since $x_i + c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A$ and $\sum(x_i + c_i) = 0$, we have proved the claim for $p \geq 5$.

If $p = 3$ then either $\#(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A) \geq 2$ for every $y \in B$, or there exists $y \in B$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$ and $\pi^{-1}(-y) \cap A$ are both non-empty. In the first case for any $N \geq 2$, $\#(X_1 + \ldots + X_N) = 3$ and therefore the N that works for B also works for A. In the second case, for any $a_1 \in \pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$ and $a_2 \in \pi^{-1}(-y) \cap A$ we have that $a_1 + a_2$ belongs to $C(3)$. This shows that $N = 6$ works in the second case.

An adaptation of this argument shows that $N = 8$ works for numbers of the form $m = 2^k$ for $k \geq 3$. We already now that this holds true for $m = 2^3$. Assuming that it works for 2^k, consider the quotient map $\pi : C(2^{k+1}) \to C(2^k)$. Notice that $U(2^{k+1})$ is mapped to $U(2^k)$ and that $\pi(\lambda) \neq \pi(-\lambda)$. Therefore any decomposition $U(2^{k+1}) = A \coprod -A \coprod \{\lambda\} \coprod \{-\lambda\}$ allows us to produce a decomposition of $U(2^k) = B \coprod -B$ such that the fibers of π over points of B intersect A. Theorem 3.10 tells us that we can choose points $b_i \in B$ such that $b_1 + \ldots + b_4 = 0$. As above choose $c_i \in \pi^{-1}(b_i)$ satisfying $c_1 + \ldots + c_4 = 0$. We consider $X_i = (\pi^{-1}(b_i) \cap A) - c_i$ as before. Of course, $X_i \subset \ker(\pi)$ and consequently $X_1 + \ldots + X_4$ is formed by 2-torsion points. Therefore $0 \in 2(X_1 + \ldots + X_4)$ and we can produce 8 elements of A summing up to zero.

It remains to check that the result holds for numbers of the form mp with $\varphi(mp) > 4$, $\varphi(m) \leq 2$ and $p \geq 3$.

For numbers of the form mp with $\varphi(m) = 2$ and $\varphi(pm) > 4$ we proceed as follows. Notice that $\varphi(m) = 2$ implies $m \in \{3, 4, 6\}$. If $p = 3$ then $\varphi(m) = 2$ and $\varphi(pm) > 4$ implies $m \in \{3, 6\}$ and $pm \in \{9, 18\}$. A direct study of these two particular cases shows that $N = 6$ works for them. So we can further assume that $p \geq 5$. Let $\pi : C(pm) \to C(m)$. The image $\pi(A)$ has cardinality 1 or 2. Therefore for some $y \in \pi(A) \subset C(m)$ we have that the cardinality of $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$ is at least $(\varphi(pm) - 2)/4 = \frac{p-2}{2}$. Since p is odd and the cardinality is an integer, we get that the cardinality of $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap A$ is actually bounded from below by $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Since $my = 0$ we can choose $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ such that $c_1 + \ldots + c_m = 0$. Consider $X_i = A \cap \pi^{-1}(y) - c_i \subset C(p)$. Cauchy-Davenport implies that $0 \in X_1 + \ldots + X_m$ if $m \geq 4$, or $0 \in 2X_1 + 2X_2 + 2X_3$ if $m = 3$. As before, we conclude that $N \in \{4, 6\}$ works in this case.

Finally, assume that $\varphi(m) = 1$, i.e. $m = 2$. In this case $\varphi(mp) > 4$ implies that $p \geq 7$. Consider the map $\pi : C(2p) \to C(2)$. Clearly, $\pi(A) = 1$ in this case. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_6 \in \pi^{-1}(1)$ be elements summing up to zero and set $X_i = A - c_i$. The sets X_i have each cardinality $(p-3)/2$, and Cauchy-Davenport tells us that $0 \in X_1 + \ldots + X_6$. Thus $N = 6$ works in this case. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation defined by a closed rational 1-form ω on a projective surface X. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral.*

Let $D = (\omega)_\infty$ be the polar divisor of ω. If $\varphi : X \to X$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$ of finite order then, for some natural number $k \leq 12$, there exists an element of $\beta \in H^0(X, \mathrm{Sym}^k \Omega^1_X(\log D))$ such that $\varphi^*\beta = \beta$ and the contraction of β with a twisted vector field $v \in H^0(X, TX \otimes K_{\mathcal{F}})$ defining $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to a section of $H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k})$ vanishing along any irreducible $\mathcal{F}$-invariant invariant compact curve contained in $X - D$.

Proof. Let $C \subset X - D$ be the maximal $\mathcal{F}$-invariant compact curve contained in $X - D$.

By Corollary 3.7, the vector space V_C of logarithmic 1-forms with poles on D and with trivial restriction to C is the image of $r^* : H^0_{\mathrm{inv}}(A, \Omega^1_A) \to H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D)$, for a certain non-constant rational map $r : X \dashrightarrow A$ to a quasi-abelian variety A. In particular V_C is nontrivial.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral, the pull-back $\varphi^*\omega$ is a complex multiple of ω. In particular, φ^* preserves the divisor D and also C, the maximal $\mathcal{F}$-invariant compact curve contained in $X - D$. Hence V_C is also invariant under φ^*. Let φ_* be the automorphism of the quasi-abelian variety $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D) = H^0(X, \Omega^1_X(\log D))^*/H_1(X - D, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by φ^*. Since φ^* preserves V_C, it follows that the action of φ_* on $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$ preserves the image of $\mathrm{Alb}(C) = \oplus \mathrm{Alb}(C_i)$ in $\mathrm{Alb}(X, D)$. We obtain in this way an induced action of φ_* on the quasi-abelian variety $A = \mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{Alb}(C) \to \mathrm{Alb}(X, D))$. This action of φ_* has the same eigenvalues as the action of φ^* on V_C. Therefore the action of φ^* on V_C has eigenvalues described by Proposition 3.4. We can apply Theorem 3.12 in order to produce a symmetric differential $\beta \in \mathrm{Sym}^k V_C$ for some $k \leq 12$ which is invariant under φ, vanishes when restricted to C and does not vanish identically along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Thus the restriction of β to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e. contraction of β with a twisted vector field defining $\mathcal{F}$) gives to a holomorphic section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$ with the sought properties.

Notice that in the case $\omega \notin V_C$, we can apply Theorem 3.10 instead of Theorem 3.12 in order to get a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \leq 6$ with the required properties. □

3.5. **Synthesis.** The next result builds on the discussion carried out in this section. It goes a long way toward the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced transversely affine foliation on a projective surface X with transverse structure defined by a logarithmic connection ∇ on $N\mathcal{F}$ with finite monodromy. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral then for some $k \leq 12$ there exists a non-zero section s of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$. Moreover, the section s vanishes on all irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ with residue strictly greater than -1.*

Proof. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the ramified covering defined by the kernel of the monodromy of ∇. As explained in §2.4, there is no loss of generality in assuming that Y is smooth. Let us denote by $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$ the automorphism of the ramified covering π and by $\mathcal{G}$ the pull-back foliation $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$. By assumption $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by a closed rational 1-form ω with polar set E. Let $C \subset Y$ be the maximal compact curve invariant by $\mathcal{G}$ and with no irreducible component contained in E. Lemma 3.1 implies that C, if not empty, is a compact curve contained in $Y - E$. Notice that the pull-back to Y of the irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ with residue strictly greater than -1 are contained in C.

Let $v \in H^0(Y, TY \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}})$ be a twisted vector field defining $\mathcal{G}$. Corollary 3.13 produces a logarithmic symmetric differential $\sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathrm{Sym}^k \Omega^1_Y(logE))$ invariant under φ whose contraction with v vanishes along C. Let $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}} \in H^0(Y, K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k})$ denote this contraction. The φ invariance of σ implies the φ invariance of $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$. According to [Bru15, Chapter 2, Example 3.4] $K_{\mathcal{G}} = \pi^* K_{\mathcal{F}}$, therefore $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$ is the pull-back under π of a section s of $H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k})$. As $\sigma_{|T\mathcal{G}}$ vanishes along C and $\pi_{|C}$ is generically a submersion to $\pi(C)$, the section s must vanish along all the irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ with residue strictly greater than -1. □

4. Foliations of Kodaira dimension one

In this section we will investigate foliations of Kodaira dimension one (not necessarily transversely affine). The study carried out here will be relevant to our proof of Theorems A and B.

4.1. **Classification.** The classification of foliations of Kodaira dimension one is due to Mendes [Men00]. The particular case of foliations of Kodaira dimension one admitting a rational first integral goes back to the work of Serrano.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation on a projective surface X. If $\mathrm{kod}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and $f : X \to C$ is the pluricanonical fibration of $\mathcal{F}$ then*

1. *The fibration f is a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration and $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation defined by f.*
2. *The fibration f has rational fibers and $\mathcal{F}$ is a Riccati foliation relative to f.*
3. *The fibration f is an isotrivial elliptic fibration and $\mathcal{F}$ is a turbulent foliation relative to f.*
4. *The fibration f is an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration and $\mathcal{F}$ is also an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration but $\mathcal{F}$ does not coincide with the foliation defined by f.*

We will now obtain effective bounds on the least natural number k such that $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ analysing separately each of the four cases predicted by Theorem 4.1.

4.2. **Non-isotrivial elliptic fibrations.** The case of non-isotrivial elliptic fibrations is well-known. For instance it is implicitly treated in [CF15]. Yet, for the sake of completeness we state and prove the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the reduced foliation subjacent to a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration $f : X \to C$ on a projective surface X. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$.*

Proof. The positive part of the Zariski decomposition of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ coincides with $f^* M_C$, where M_C is the moduli part in the canonical bundle formula $K_{X/C} = f^*(M_C + B_C)$ of Kodaira, see [CF15, Lemma 2.22]. Moreover $|12M_C|$ is Cartier and base point free. More precisely, $12M_C$ coincides with $J^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ where $J : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the j-invariant of the fibration according to [Fuj86, Theorem 2.9]. Therefore $H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes 12}) = H^0(C, M_C^{\otimes 12}))$ and the result follows. □

4.3. **Riccati foliations.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riccati foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface X. In what follows we will assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is its own nef model, i.e. the canonical bundle (indeed $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle) is nef. Let $f : X \to C$ be the reference fibration. The canonical bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ is described in [McQ08, IV.4]. We present an equivalent description below following Brunella's paper [Bru03, Section 7]. We

start by recalling the classification of the fibers of the reference fibration f. They are divided in 5 classes labeled (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e).

(a) Smooth fibers of f transverse to $\mathcal{F}$.
(b) Singular fibers of f transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ with two cyclic quotient singularities of the same order o.
(c) Smooth fibers of f invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ with two non-degenerate saddles or one saddle-node with multiplicity two.
(d) Smooth fibers of f invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ with two saddle-nodes with the same multiplicty m.
(e) Singular fibers of f invariant by $\mathcal{F}$ with one saddle-node of multiplicity l and two quotient singularities of order 2.

The quotient singularities over fibers of f of type (b) and (e) induce a natural orbifold structure $C_{\rm orb}$ on C where the points below (b) have multiplicity o and the points below (e) have multiplicity 2. Therefore

$$K_{C_{\rm orb}} = K_C + \sum_{(b)} \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} b_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{e_j}{2} \tag{1}$$

where the points $b_j, e_j \in C$ are below the fibers of type (b) and (e) respectively. Similarly, the direct image of the canonical bundle of $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be expressed as

$$f_* K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{C_{\rm orb}} + \sum_{(c)} c_j + \sum_{(d)} m_j d_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{l_j}{2} e_j$$

where c_j, d_j and e_j run respectively among the points of C below fibers of type (c),(d) and (e). For a precise description of the coefficients m_j, l_j, o_j the reader can consult Brunella's paper. For our purposes, it is sufficient to know that $o_j > 1$ is equal to the finite order of the local monodromy around the fiber over b_j and m_j, l_j are positive integers.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced Riccati foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface X. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a transversely affine Riccati foliation without a rational first integral then we obtain the sharper bound $k \leq 8$.*

The proof of this proposition relies on an analysis of the formula for $f_* K_{\mathcal{F}}$ presented above. We will split it in four lemmas. The first three determine k according to the genus of the base of the reference fibration $f : X \to C$. The last one deals with transversely affine Riccati foliations. The starting point of all the three lemmas is the same. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have an inclusion

$$H^0(C, \lfloor (f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k} \rfloor) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}),$$

where $\lfloor (f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k}) \rfloor$ is the divisor deduced from Equation (1) by rounding down to integers the rational coefficients.

Lemma 4.4. *If C has genus at least two then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 2$.*

Proof. It suffices to notice that the natural composition $f^* \Omega^1_C \to \Omega^1_X \to K_{\mathcal{F}}$ induces an injection of $H^0(C, \Omega^1_C)$ into $H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}})$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If C has genus one then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 4$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ has Kodaira dimension we see that $\deg f_* K_{\mathcal{F}} > 0$. We claim that it suffices to determine $k \geq 1$ such that $\deg \lfloor (f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k} \rfloor \geq 2$. Indeed if L is a line bundle over a curve C of genus 1 satisfying $\deg(L) > 0$ then Riemann-Roch implies that $h^0(C, L) = \deg L + h^0(C, K_C \otimes L^*) = \deg(L)$. Since all the coefficients in Equation (1) are at least $1/2$ and K_C does not contribute to the computation of the degree, it is clear that $\deg \lfloor (f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k} \rfloor \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 4$. □

Lemma 4.6. *If C is a rational curve then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$.*

Proof. If we set $\delta = \deg(f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $\delta_k = \deg(\lfloor (f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k} \rfloor)$ then from Equation (1) we deduce that

$$\delta_k = -2k + \sum_{(b)} \lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \rfloor + \sigma_k,$$

with

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{(c)} k + \sum_{(d)} k m_j + \sum_{(e)} \lfloor k \frac{l_j + 1}{2} \rfloor.$$

We proceed according to the value of $\sigma = \sum_{(c)} 1 + \sum_{(d)} m_j + \sum_{(e)} \frac{l_j+1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. We will denote by k_{min} be the smallest k such that $\delta_k \geq 1$.

If $\sigma > 2$ then automatically $\sigma \geq \frac{5}{2}$. In particular if $k > 0$ is even then $\sigma_k = k\sigma \geq \frac{5k}{2}$, $-2k + \sigma_k \geq 1$, $\delta_k \geq 1$ and we conclude $k_{min} \leq 2$ when $\sigma > 2$.

If $\sigma \leq 2$ then $\sigma \in \{2, 3/2, 1, 0\}$. We will now analyze each one of this possibilities.

If $\sigma = 2$ then, for k positive and even, $-2k + \sigma_k = 0$ and $\delta_k \geq 1$ amounts to $\sum_{(b)} \lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \rfloor \geq 1$. This sum possesses at least one term, as $\delta = deg(f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})$ is positive. This term is $\lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \rfloor \geq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \geq 1$. We thus also have $k_{min} \leq 2$ when $\sigma = 2$.

If $\sigma = \frac{3}{2}$ then, for $k > 0$ even, $\delta_k = -\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \rfloor \geq -\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \frac{k}{2}$. If there are two fibers of type (b), we obtain $\delta_k \geq 1$ and $k \leq 2$. If there are less than two fibers of type (b) then $\delta > 0$ imposes $-\frac{k}{2} + \sum_{(b)} \lfloor k \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} \rfloor \geq -\frac{k}{2} + \lfloor \frac{2k}{3} \rfloor$ and $k = 6$ yields $\delta_k \geq 1$. Hence $k_{min} \leq 6$ for $\sigma = 3/2$.

If $\sigma = 1$, $\delta > 0$ forces $\sum_{(b)} \frac{o_j - 1}{o_j} > 1$, and if $k = 6l, l > 0$, then $\delta_k \geq -k + \frac{k}{2} + \frac{2k}{3}$ and $k = 6$ yields $\delta_k \geq 1$. Therefore $k_{min} \leq 6$ when $\sigma = 1$.

It remains to analyze the possibilities for $\sigma = 0$. In this case there are only fibers of type (a) and (b). The condition $\delta > 0$ means that C_{orb} is a hyperbolic orbifold. It is well known this forces the presence of at least 3 fibers of type (b).

If there are at least four such fibers, and $k = 6l, l > 0$, then $\delta > 0$ implies $\delta_k \geq -2k + \frac{3k}{2} + \frac{2k}{3}$ and $k = 6$ yields $\delta_k \geq 1$, hence $k_{min} \leq 6$.

Suppose there are exactly three fibers of type (b). We consider k_{min}, δ and $\delta_k = \delta_k(o_1, o_2, o_3)$ as functions of the three weights (o_1, o_2, o_3). We will always suppose the triples satisfy $o_1 \leq o_2 \leq o_3$. We will write $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq (o_1, o_2, o_3)$ when $l_j \geq o_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The key observation is that $(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq (o_1, o_2, o_3)$ implies $\delta_k(l_1, l_2, l_3) \geq \delta_k(o_1, o_2, o_3)$ and thus $k_{min}(l_1, l_2, l_3) \leq k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3)$.

If $o_1 \geq 3$ then $\delta(o_1, o_2, o_3) > 0$ imposes $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (3, 3, 4)$. Direct computation shows $k_{min}(3, 3, 4) = 12$, hence $o_1 \geq 3$ implies $k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3) \leq 12$.

If $o_1 \leq 2$, then $\delta(o_1, o_2, o_3) > 0$ imposes $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (2, 3, 7)$ or $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (2, 4, 5)$. Direct computation shows $k_{min}(2, 3, 7) = 42$ and $k_{min}(2, 4, 5) = 20$. Thus in any case $k_{min} \leq 42$. □

Remark 4.7. Pushing further the analysis above one can obtain the following more precise picture. If there is at least one fiber which is not of type (a) or (b) then $k_{min} \leq 6$. If there are only fibers of type (a) and (b) then $C_{\rm orb}$ is a hyperbolic orbifold and as such contains at least 3 non-smooth points.

i) if $C_{\rm orb}$ contains strictly more than 3 non-smooth points points then $k_{min} \leq 6$.
ii) if $C_{\rm orb}$ contains exactly 3 points then $k_{min} = 42$ for $(2,3,7)$; $k_{min} = 24$ for $(2,3,8)$; $k_{min} = 20$ for $(2,4,5)$; $k_{min} = 18$ for $(2,3,9), (2,3,10)$ and $(2,3,11)$; and $k_{min} \leq 12$ for any other case.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced Riccati foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely affine and that $\mathcal{F}$ does not have a rational first integral. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 8$.*

Proof. It suffices to analyze the case where the base C of the reference fibration is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ and we have only fibers of type (a) and (b) and exactly three fibers of type (b). Otherwise the previous results implies that some $k \leq 6$ does the job.

Notice that the local monodromy of $\mathcal{F}$ around fibers of type (b) is finite. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely affine and does not admit a rational first integral its monodromy is an infinite solvable subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ generated by two elements of finite order whose product is still of finite order. Therefore its action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ must fix one point. Since the order of the elements coincides with order of the derivative at this fixed point it follows that the weights of orbifold structure must be of the form $(o_1, o_2, \mathrm{lcm}(o_1, o_2))$ where lcm stands for the least common multiple.

Let (o_1, o_2, o_3) be a triple of positive integers with $o_1 \leq o_2 \leq o_3 = \mathrm{lcm}(o_1, o_2)$ and $\delta(o_1, o_2, o_3) > 0$. If $o_1 \geq 4$, then $k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3) \leq k_{min}(4, 4, 4) = 4$.

If $o_1 < 4$ then $(o_1, o_2, o_3) \geq (2, 5, 10)$ unless (o_1, o_2, o_3) belongs to the set $\{(2,6,6), (2,8,8), (3,4,12), (3,6,6), (3,9,9)\}$. For these five special triples, we can check directly that $k_{min}(o_1, o_2, o_3) \leq 6$. □

4.4. **Turbulent foliations.** The picture in the case of turbulent foliations is very similar to the one drawn above for Riccati foliations, see [McQ08, IV.4] and [Bru03, Section 7]. There exists a nef model and a precise description of the fibers of the reference fibration $f : X \to C$ very similar to the one for Riccati foliations. A key difference is that the multiplicity of fibers of f, unlikely in the case of Riccati foliations, are uniformly bounded. The only possibilities are $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ (possible orders of automorphisms of an elliptic curve fixing a point).

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced turbulent foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 12$.*

Proof. Since the multiplicities of multiple fibers belong to $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$, we deduce that $(f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes 12}$ is an effective $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor of positive degree. Consequently, we must have $\deg((f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes 12}) \geq 1$. As before if the base C is hyperbolic then we already have that $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 2$. If it is rational then we also have $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$. If instead the base is an elliptic curve then either some $k \leq 6$ suffices to ensure that $(f_* K_{\mathcal{F}})^{\otimes k}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor of positive degree or there at least two distinct orbifold points on C. In any case we guarantee that $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes 12}) \geq 2$ arguing as in Lemma 4.5. □

4.5. **Isotrivial hyperbolic fibrations.** It remains to analyze the case of isotrivial hyperbolic fibrations.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$.*

Proof. As in the case of Riccati foliations there is no uniform bound for the multiplicity of the fibers. Nevertheless there exists a nef model, and all the fibers of the pluricanonical fibration $f : X \to C$ on the nef model are transverse to the foliation. The multiple fibers induce an orbifold structure on C and $f_* K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{C_{\mathrm{orb}}}$. The analysis carried out above for Riccati foliations shows that $h^0(C, K_{C_{\mathrm{orb}}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$. □

4.6. **Synthesis.** We collect the results spread over the four previous subsections in the statement below.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation of Kodaira dimension one on a projective surface X. Then $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a transversely affine Riccati foliation of Kodaira dimension one which does not admit a rational first integral then we can take $k \leq 8$.*

5. Proof of the main results

5.1. **Auxiliary results.** We collect here some auxiliary results which will be needed in the proof of the main results.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation of Kodaira dimension zero on a projective surface X. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely affine and admits a transverse structure determined by a logarithmic connection ∇ with finite monodromy and at worst logarithmic poles.*

Proof. According to [McQ08, Fact IV.3.3], there exists a smooth projective surface Y and a generically finite rational map $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{G} = \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ is defined by a holomorphic vector field v. Consider the one parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ generated by v. Its Zariski closure is an abelian subgroup H of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ which preserves $\mathcal{G}$. If every element in the Lie algebra of H is tangent to $\mathcal{G}$ then the leaves of $\mathcal{G}$ are algebraic and the same holds true for the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Thus we can define $\mathcal{F}$ through a logarithmic 1-form. If instead there exists an element in the Lie algebra of H generically transverse to $\mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{G}$ is also defined by a closed rational 1-form, cf. [PS02, Corollary 2]. In this way, in both cases, $\mathcal{G}$ admits a transversely affine structure given by a logarithmic connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{G}}$ with trivial monodromy. This transverse structure descends to a transverse structure for $\mathcal{F}$ with finite monodromy and logarithmic poles, see [CP14, Example 2.10]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a reduced foliation on a projective surface X. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is the pull-back under a morphism $\pi : X \to Y$ of a reduced foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on another projective surface Y. Then, for $k > 0$, any non trivial section s of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}$ induces a section $\pi^* s$ of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$. Furthermore the zero locus of $\pi^* s$ contains the inverse image of the zero locus of s.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is reduced, it follows that $K_{\mathcal{F}} - \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} = \Delta$ where Δ is an effective divisor, see [FP11, Proposition 2.1]. Therefore $H^0(X, \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k})$ injects into $H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k})$, for every $k > 0$, in such way that a section s is mapped to a section $\pi^* s$ with zero divisor equal to the sum of the pull-back of $(s)_0$ with $k\Delta$. This is sufficient to prove the lemma. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem A.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversely affine foliation of degree $d \geq 2$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with transverse structure given by a meromorphic flat connection ∇ on $N_{\mathcal{F}}$. Recall that in this case $N_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d+2)$ and $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1)$. Assume also that $\mathcal{F}$ does not admit a rational first integral.

Let us first treat the case where ∇ is logarithmic with finite monodromy. Thus all the residues of ∇ are rational numbers. According to Proposition 2.1 we have the identity

$$(d+2) = -\sum_{C \subset \mathbb{P}^2} \mathrm{Res}_C(\nabla)\deg(C)\,.$$

If all the residues of ∇ are ≤ -1 then it follows that the support of $(\nabla)_\infty$ has degree bounded by $d+2$ which is certainly smaller than $12(d-1)$ since $d \geq 2$.

Assume that there are residues which are strictly greater than -1. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a resolution of singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \pi^*\mathcal{F}$. Theorem 3.14 guarantees the existence of a section s of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \leq 12$ which vanishes along all the irreducible components of $(\nabla_{\mathcal{G}})_\infty$ with residues strictly greater than -1. This section descends to a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$ vanishing along the irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ having the same properties. This suffices to prove the Theorem in the case ∇ is logarithmic with finite monodromy.

If $\mathcal{F}$ admits more than one transverse affine structure then we can always choose ∇ logarithmic and with trivial monodromy, cf. [CP14, Proposition 2.1].

It remains to deal with the case where $\mathcal{F}$ admits a unique transverse affine structure defined by a meromorphic flat connection ∇ which is not logarithmic or has infinite monodromy. The structure theorem for transversely affine foliations tells us that there exists a ruled surface S, a Riccati foliation $\mathcal{R}$ on S, and a rational map $p : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$ such that $\mathcal{F} = p^*\mathcal{R}$. Since we are assuming that ∇ is not logarithmic or has infinite monodromy, Proposition 5.1 implies that $\mathcal{R}$ has Kodaira dimension one.

Let $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rational map with irreducible general fiber defining the pull-back to $\mathbb{P}^2$ under p of the reference fibration of $\mathcal{R}$. If none of the irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ is contained in fibers of f then they all come from horizontal algebraic leaves of the Riccati folation $\mathcal{R}$. Since the horizontal leaves of a transversely affine Riccati foliation have integral residues ≤ -1, then all the irreducible components of $(\nabla)_\infty$ have integral residues ≤ -1. Therefore, as in the case where ∇ is logarithmic with finite monodromy we can conclude that the support of $(\nabla)_\infty$ has degree $\leq d+2 < 12(d-1)$.

Assume from now on that some irreducible component of $(\nabla)_\infty$ is contained in a fiber of $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. To conclude the proof of the theorem it suffices to bound the degree of fibers of f. For that replace $\mathcal{R}$ by a reduced foliation birationally equivalent to it and resolve the rational map $p : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$ to obtain the commutative diagram below.



Let $\mathcal{G} = q^*\mathcal{F}$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to X and notice that it coincides with $\tilde{p}^*\mathcal{R}$. We know from Lemma 4.8 that there exists two linearly independent sections of

$K_{\mathcal{R}}^{\otimes k}$, say s_1, s_2, for some $k \leq 8$. The rational map to $g : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by the quotient of these two rational sections contracts the fibers of the reference fibration of $\mathcal{R}$. In other words, its Stein factorization coincides with the reference fibration of $\mathcal{R}$. Therefore $\tilde{p}^* s_1, \tilde{p}^* s_2 \in H^0(X, \tilde{p}^* K_{\mathcal{R}}^{\otimes k})$, the pull-backs of s_1, s_2 to X, define a rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which contracts the fibers of the pull-back of the reference fibration. In particular, there exists a section in $H^0(X, \tilde{p}^* K_{\mathcal{R}}^{\otimes k})$ which vanishes along any given fiber of the pull-back of the reference fibration. We can apply Lemma 5.2 to obtain a section $s \in H^0(X, K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k})$ $(k \leq 8)$ with the very same property. The push-forward of s to $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k(d-1))$ which vanishes on any given fiber of f. Thus the fibers of f have degree bounded by $8(d-1)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem A. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem B.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of degree d on the projective plane. If $d \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}$ admits a rational first integral then $\mathcal{F}$ is a pencil of rational curves and does not satisfy our assumptions. Thus $d \geq 2$. Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a reduction of singularities of $\mathcal{F}$. Denote by $\mathcal{G} = \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ the resulting reduced foliation. Notice that $\mathcal{G}$ is not necessarily relatively minimal, but since we are assuming that the general leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has genus > 0, we have that $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ is pseudo-effective according to Miyaoka's Theorem [Bru15, Theorem 7.1]. If we consider the Zariski decomposition $P + N$ of $K_{\mathcal{G}}$ then the support of N is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, see [McQ08, Proposition III.2.1] or [Bru15, Theorem 8.1].

If $\mathcal{G}$ is a foliation of Kodaira dimension zero then for any $k > 0$ and any non-zero section s of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}$, the zero locus of (s) is contained in the support of N. Thus it must be $\mathcal{G}$-invariant. According to [Per05], there exists a non-zero section of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \leq 12$. Moreover, if we assume that the leaves of $\mathcal{G}$ are algebraic then we can take $k \leq 6$. Since $\pi_* K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}$ injects in $K_{\mathcal{F}}^{\otimes k}$ with cokernel supported in codimension two, and $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1)$ it follows the existence of an invariant algebraic curve for $\mathcal{F}$ of degree at most $6(d-1)$

If $\mathcal{G}$ is a non-isotrivial elliptic fibration then the general member of the linear system $|K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes 12}|$ is supported on a union of fibers of the fibration. It follows that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has degree bounded by $12(d-1)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{G}$ is an isotrivial hyperbolic fibration, i.e. the general leaf has genus at least 2. Thus the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{G}$ is one and its Iitaka fibration has general fiber completely transverse to $\mathcal{G}$. Hence the Iitaka fibration defines a foliation $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathrm{tang}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ is invariant by both foliations, since otherwise this tangency locus would intersect a general fiber of both fibrations. Since $h^0(X, K_{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes k}) \geq 2$ for some $k \leq 42$, the direct image of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a foliation defined by a pencil of curves of degree bounded by $42(d-1)$. The tangency locus of $\pi_* \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant by both foliations. It follows that $\mathcal{F}$ has an invariant algebraic curve of degree at most $42(d-1)$. Theorem B is proved. □

References

[ABCAM13] Enrique Artal Bartolo, José Ignacio Cogolludo-Agustín, and Daniel Matei, *Characteristic varieties of quasi-projective manifolds and orbifolds*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 1, 273–309. MR 3035328

[Ara97] Donu Arapura, *Geometry of cohomology support loci for local systems. I*, J. Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), no. 3, 563–597. MR 1487227 (98m:32043)

[BD79] F. Baldassarri and B. Dwork, *On second order linear differential equations with algebraic solutions*, Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), no. 1, 42–76. MR 527825 (81d:34002)

[BGAL99] Ch. Birkenhake, V. González-Aguilera, and H. Lange, *Automorphisms of 3-dimensional abelian varieties*, Complex geometry of groups (Olmué, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 240, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 25–47. MR 1703549 (2000j:14072)

[BHPVdV04] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2030225

[Bru03] Marco Brunella, *Foliations on complex projective surfaces*, Dynamical systems. Part II, Pubbl. Cent. Ric. Mat. Ennio Giorgi, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa, 2003, pp. 49–77. MR 2071237 (2005g:32045)

[Bru15] ———, *Birational geometry of foliations*, IMPA Monographs, vol. 1, Springer, Cham, 2015. MR 3328860

[CC95] F. Capocasa and F. Catanese, *Linear systems on quasi-abelian varieties*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), no. 1, 183–197. MR 1312575

[CF15] Paolo Cascini and Enrica Floris, *On invariance of plurigenera for foliations on surfaces*, arXiv:1502.00817v2 [math.AG] (2015).

[CLP07] Colin Christopher, Jaume Llibre, and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Multiplicity of invariant algebraic curves in polynomial vector fields*, Pacific J. Math. **229** (2007), no. 1, 63–117. MR 2276503

[CP14] Gaël Cousin and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Transversely affine foliations on projective manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014), no. 5, 985–1014. MR 3294560

[FP11] Charles Favre and Jorge Vitório Pereira, *Foliations invariant by rational maps*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), no. 3-4, 753–770. MR 2818727

[Fuj86] Takao Fujita, *Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), no. 1, 19–37. MR 816221 (87e:14036)

[Iit76] Shigeru Iitaka, *Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **23** (1976), no. 3, 525–544. MR 0429884

[LN02] Alcides Lins Neto, *Some examples for the Poincaré and Painlevé problems*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 2, 231–266. MR 1914932 (2003j:34009)

[LPT15] F. Loray, J.V. Pereira, and F. Touzet, *Representations of quasiprojective groups, flat connections and transversely projective foliations*, arXiv:1402.1382v2 [math.AG] (2015).

[McQ08] Michael McQuillan, *Canonical models of foliations*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), no. 3, part 2, 877–1012. MR 2435846 (2009k:14026)

[Men00] Luís Gustavo Mendes, *Kodaira dimension of holomorphic singular foliations*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **31** (2000), no. 2, 127–143. MR 1785264 (2001i:14015)

[Nam87] Makoto Namba, *Branched coverings and algebraic functions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 161, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987. MR 933557

[Pai97] P. Painlevé, *Leçons sur la théorie analytique des équations différentielles professées à Stockholm (septembre, octobre, novembre 1895) sur l'invitation de S. M. le Roi de Suède et de Norwège.*, Paris: A. Hermann. 19 + 6 + 589 S. 4°. lith (1897)., 1897.

[Per02] Jorge Vitório Pereira, *On the Poincaré problem for foliations of general type*, Math. Ann. **323** (2002), no. 2, 217–226. MR 1913040

[Per05] ———, *On the height of foliated surfaces with vanishing Kodaira dimension*, Publ. Mat. **49** (2005), no. 2, 363–373. MR 2177633 (2006m:32034)

[Per06] ———, *Fibrations, divisors and transcendental leaves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **15** (2006), no. 1, 87–110, With an appendix by Laurent Meersseman. MR 2177196 (2006g:32023)

[Poi91] H. Poincaré, *Sur l'intégration algébrique des équations différentielles du premier ordre et du premier degré. I.*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **5** (1891), 161–191 (French).

[PS02] Jorge Vitório Pereira and Percy Fernández Sánchez, *Transformation groups of holomorphic foliations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), no. 5, 1115–1123. MR 1957664

[Sin92] Michael F. Singer, *Liouvillian first integrals of differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **333** (1992), no. 2, 673–688. MR 1062869 (92m:12014)

[Spe] David Speyer, *Products of primitive roots of the unity*, MathOverflow, http://mathoverflow.net/q/136310(version:2013-07-11).

[Vau81] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1981. MR 628618

IRMA, 7 rue Descartes, 67000 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: gcousin333@gmail.com

IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, Horto, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil
E-mail address: alcides@impa.br, jvp@impa.br